Welcome and Agenda
The meeting was called to order by Ruth Cranley at 9:08 am at CSU, Stanislaus. The agenda was reviewed and Jack requested the AO Meeting be added under “other.” The minutes of September 24, 2007 were approved as corrected (Jack/Jean).

Update on status of Grids
Raul is working on the process of getting the grids published on the website and is working on shortening the time it takes to get them published.

It was decided to hold off on the discussion of the ADN Grid until the next meeting. The issue of “TEAS” has come up and more information needs to be found on this requirement before we can finalize grid. Also, articulation officers need to look into reciprocity issues and report back next meeting as well.

Tina has made corrections to the Pre-Licensure Grid. She will email the grid out, and, by email approval of all articulation officers the grid will be published.

Tina has the Liberal Studies Grid updated and will send to Raul to be published.

Mark Bender-Ag Studies Presentation
Mark Bender gave a presentation on the Agricultural Studies Department. The presentation included facts about the program, stats on the students that have attended the program and the relationship the department has with the area colleges. Mark went over articulation issues within the program and answered questions from the articulation officers about issues they have with the program. The articulation officers reinforced the need for official articulation agreements to be recognized as courses are deemed eligible for prerequisites to the program. Mark gave a detailed background of the up and coming CID program on the purpose of the program and his part of the process. He also gave
advising sheets to share with counselors to assist in advising students that plan on transferring into the Agricultural Studies Program.

**LDTP Update**
Jack gave a handout from the regional articulation officers meeting with regards to LDTP issues. The handout mentions issues that have risen regarding LDTP. The LDTP newsletter just came out with the most up-to-date information.

**Jack’s updates on recent meetings attended**
Jack attended the Regional Articulation Officers Meeting and gave handouts regarding LDTP and IGETC. The LDTP handout is discussed above. The IGETC handout is not active yet, just a draft of “things to come.” National University did make a presentation at the meeting and the $5000 that is budgeted annually for articulation was discussed.

There was no update from the Counselor Conference.

Region 5 meeting-Nothing really new has come up from that meeting. There was discussion on the C-ID and a lot of discussion on LDTP, but not much new information to report.

**Evaluator and Transfer Center Committee Meeting Items**
Ann brought in a binder that included documentation that has been worked on as a training binder for evaluators. The binder hasn’t been worked on for a few years. The question was raised as to how the evaluators feel about a joint meeting. MJC evaluators will not be able to meet in the spring. Columbia evaluators have the manual, use it, and would like to meet. Merced evaluators have the manual and want to meet. Delta evaluators have the manual but don’t use it and they don’t want to meet. They use a listserv that has been created for evaluators. Jack gave a handout with information on it as to how sign up to be on the listserv. It was suggested to propose dates for the evaluator meeting and see what the availability is.

Raul mentioned that he has some leftover money and has been trying to come up with different ideas on how the evaluators can meet. He would be willing to fund a conference/workshop focusing on transferring to CSU, Stanislaus. The idea was explored and Raul also asked for suggestions of any other format to have the evaluators meet/network. Workshops could be offered at different times of the year and at different schools. We could invite experienced evaluators to present on a topic of interest. Not all evaluators would have to come, but they would all be invited. We would need to come up with some sort of “hook” to get them there. The topics discussed at the workshop would be generated by the evaluators. We will talk more about the workshop idea in the next meeting.

Also, Raul mentioned the survey results. He has reviewed them but feels that they need further analysis to be able to use the information efficiently. This will take a little more time, but once completed he will give us a report.
**Reciprocity Certificate**
A memo from 2004 was passed out regarding the reciprocity agreement and it was decided to further this discussion at the next meeting.

**GE Review Committee**
Jack passed out a handout regarding a new tool they use at Delta College to review GE courses. He has been part of creating the process and database and shared with us how it works.

Respectfully Submitted,

Tina Lent
CSU, Stanislaus