

Region 5
Northern California Intersegmental Articulation Council
March 9, 2007 Meeting

Location: UCM – Fresno Office

Members Present:

Miles Vega, Porterville College (Co-chair)
Greg Keen, College of the Sequoias (Co- chair)
Rose Stock, Fresno City College
Marta Hendrickson, West Hills College
Marilyn Martino, CSU Fresno
Lore Dobusch, Reedley College
Vicki Jacobi Herder, Taft College
Kelly Schroeder, Fresno Pacific University
Linda Pryce-Sheehan, Fresno Pacific University Registrar
Ann Anderson, Merced College
Ruth Cranley, Modesto Junior College
Jack Saunders, San Joaquin Delta College
Douglas Tedards, UOP, College of the Pacific A.O.
Alexis Graham, UOP, University A.O.

Members Absent:

Susan Fauroat, UC, Merced
Diane Wiggins, CSU Bakersfield
Jean Mallory, Columbia College
Ed Knudson, Bakersfield College
Margo Brock, Bakersfield College

Miles started the meeting at 9:15. The October 6, 2006 minutes were reviewed.

Reports:

1. NCIAC Meeting
 - A. LDTP: It was noted the following direct question was asked of Rachel Hendrickson, “Can you guarantee that LDTP will be around long enough to justify the investment of time?” Rachel chose not to answer the question.

- B. Legislative Liaison: We discussed the concept of an intersegmental CIAC legislative liaison; the importance of maintaining intersegmental cooperation and the sometimes divergent views and/or priorities of the different segments.

The following motion was made: Region 5 supports the concept of an intersegmental CIAC legislative liaison. In addition we propose a CIAC legislative liaison committee composed of one representative from each of the four segments. Approved – M/S/A.

2. CIAC Conference

CIAC Annual Conference will be at the Westin San Francisco Airport Hotel on April 26, 1:00 pm to April 27, 2:00 pm

The absence of ASSIST was questioned and it was clarified that ASSIST coordinates their conference with the Annual CIAC Conference every other year when the conference is held in Southern California.

3. Chancellor's Office Regional AO Meeting: Jack Saunders, Region 5 Representative reported on the February 2, 2007 meeting. Note: A.O.s met with the TCD for half a day.

- A. LDTP: Jack reported that only 55 colleges submitted courses, and 55-60% proposed courses were denied approval. Jack asked how many Region 5 colleges submitted courses and the colleges that did not submit courses are SJDC, MC, and PC. Additionally, MJC choose not to submit courses on the second cycle.

Jack's report digressed into a full discussion about LDTP. For those colleges who did submit courses, not one had either of the accounting courses approved for LDTP, and all but one (Columbia College) have current, valid bilateral articulation for accounting with CSUF. This is cause for concern because the CSU's are not required to utilize their own TCSU course descriptors and the community colleges can not develop courses to meet the criteria for both LDTP and their primary CSUs; the community colleges will be forced to choose between LDTP and their primary CSUs.

We discussed the type of feedback we received with the LDTP denials, and the additional information provided in the memorandum. Those who did not submit LDTP course proposals also did not receive this communication. Some voiced concern about not receiving the memorandum even though they did not submit course proposals (College of the Sequoias' memorandum is attached for your review).



LDTP 1st cycle
course submission res

Greg voiced concern about the lack of a TCSU course descriptor for the “Survey of Chemistry and Physics” course that is required for the Subject Matter Preparation for Elementary Teaching LDTP. Greg explained that he has discussed this issue with the CSU Chancellor’s Office and via e-mail he has been informed that they need to gain some clarification before answering his question fully. The questions was, “ If there is not a LDTP course descriptor for the “Survey of Chemistry and Physics” course then how will we get our courses approved for this LDTP major? My understanding is all courses included in the LDTP majors will need to become TCSU courses and in order for this to occur all course must have a TCSU/LDTP course descriptor approved by the CSU faculty at large. Am I missing something?” Greg is still waiting for a response.

Ann voiced concern about all the CAN agreements that will be sunset 2 years after the TCSU course descriptors are posted on the LDTP Web Site. Those posted so far will sunset in either June 2008 or November 2008.

Jack then redirected us to his report and shared the Region 8 Articulation Officers statement which affirmed their reluctance and even opposition to submitting courses for Phase III of the TCSU numbering project of the LDTP unless and until such time their concerns are addressed, concretely and in writing, by the Chancellor’s Office of the California State University (see attachment for complete statement).



0561_001.pdf

After much discussion the following statement was noted: In Region 5, many articulation officers share the concerns documented in the Region 8 statement regarding TCSU/LDTP and believe their questions need to be answered in order to proceed with implementation.

The following motion was made: Region 5 urges the CSU to clarify the effects of LDTP on current bilateral articulation, across all segments. Approved – M/S/A.

B. CCC Articulation Grant \$\$\$ (\$5K):

Last February the Grant \$\$ were out of the budget proposal. It appears now that the \$\$ are back in the budget proposal.

C. Course Outline/Textbook Recency:

UCOP requires course outlines to be no more than 5 years old, and will not allow a text older than 7 years without good justification. They are considering the possibility of allowing course outlines within 7 years. CSU does not have a firm policy (see attachment for complete text).

It was noted that required reading is exempt from the recency criteria for some courses in disciplines such as: music, literature, and art history.



0564_001.pdf

C. Academic Senate for CCC: Ad Hoc Committee on Transfer and Articulation Issues 2006-07 (see attachment).



0565_001.pdf

D. University Studies:

University Studies as defined by most CCC appears to be fundamentally inconsistent with Title 5, and the Statewide CCCAS is philosophically opposed to this type of major that is based solely on IGETC and/or CSU GE (see attachment).



0566_001.pdf

E. IGETC Course Effective Date:

Currently courses are proposed in December, and approved in March/April with an effective date of the following Fall Semester. If the course is active in ASSIST then approval date is automatically retroactively approved for spring 2007. This new proposal uses the same submission and approval timeline, but changes the effective date to the Fall of the same academic year the course was submitted (see attachment).



0567_001.pdf

Most CCC A.O.s in Region 5 support this new proposal. COS, WH, and RC believe this new policy would contribute to the already complicated approval process and in an attempt to minimize false assumptions made by faculty and students will most likely continue to delay offering courses designed specifically for transfer GE until all decisions regarding IGETC and CSU GE are finalized.

F. Certification of Competence in a Language Other Than English (see attachment).



0569_001.pdf

New Region 5 Representative:

Jack Saunders was nominated as Chair Elect. Approved – M/S/A. Greg Keen will be Chair for 2007-08.

Campus Reports: The current practice is to include only articulation specific information in the minutes.

1. FCC will most likely implement CurricuNet in the near future.
2. FPU now accepting CSU version of IGETC for their Degree Completion Program. All updated Degree Completion Program Articulation Agreements are now posted on the Web.
3. Reedley College will most likely implement CurricuNet in the near future.
4. UOP has a new University Articulation Officer: Alexis Graham. She is breaking new ground by developing course to course articulation agreements for the first time. She has prioritized their top 20 feeder CCCs and has completed 4 including: SJDC, SCC, MJC, and DVC. UOP is using BANNER and is planning on implementing the CAPP degree audit system in the near future.
5. West Hills College is officially two colleges: West Hills College Lemoore and West Hills College Coalinga (very creative names). ASSIST now reflects their independence.
6. COS is currently implementing CurricuNet
7. UC Merced: Susan Fauroat was not able to attend and Ann Anderson presented her campus report (see attachment).



0570_001.pdf

Additional issues that surfaced during campus reports:

1. Definition of Online, and Hybrid Courses: (see attachment, page 4 & 5 for the 51% rule).



DEGuidelinesMar200
4.pdf

My Curriculum Committee Chair also suggested Bakersfield College's definition of a Hybrid course as a good example:

http://www.bc.cc.ca.us/distance_learning/hybrid.asp

2. CSU GE Guidelines for Course Approval:

In the context of discussing the inappropriateness of DE sciences courses with a lab for the CSU GE Certification, I (Greg Keen) stated that the CSU had documented this inappropriateness just as they have for Speech courses that require students to stand and deliver. Marta, I stand corrected. We all know the CSU has made it very clear that DE science lab courses should not be certified but this policy does not appear to be in writing. I have e-mailed Chris Hansen asking for clarification and something in writing.



GE Review Guiding
Notes_AO.PDF

3. Jack will begin posting all Region 5 Articulation Meeting Agendas and Minutes on the SJDC Web Sit. Thanks Jack.

Next Meeting: Fall Meeting TBD.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:30pm. Minutes submitted by Greg Keen

We all want to say thank you to Susan Fauroat and UC Merced for providing a great facility and the refreshments.

Revised and distributed March 19, 2007